UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,736	09/26/2006	Herve Thellier	277409US6PCT	8352
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SZEWCZYK, CYNTHIA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1791		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,736	THELLIER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CYNTHIA SZEWCZYK	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavi I (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons	ideration and/or search (see NO		cause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.</li></ul>		timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 13-23.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ii be entered and an e <i>j</i>	унапашоп от
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but on See Continuation Sheet.	loes NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P 13. ☐ Other:	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/ Carlos Lopez/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1791	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New limitations provide combinations not previously considered and would require further search and/or consideration..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on page 7 that MORIN does not teach continuously supporting the glass sheets with the female former, however the examined claim merely required the glass to be supported by the female former up until the pressing step and as discussed in the office action since the female mold raises the glass sheets, the glass sheets are inherently continuously supported on the female former while being lifted towards the male mold. Applicant argues on page 8 that MORIN does not teach commencing the partial vacuum after the upper glass sheet contacts the male former however MORIN discloses on col. 5 lines 3-4 that the glass is brought into contact with the male mold before providing the vacuum. Applicant argues on page 8 that MORIN does not teach continuing the pressing step at commencement of the partial vacuum, however, as discussed above MORIN discloses on col. 5 lines 3-4 that the glass is brought into contact with the male mold before providing the vacuum. Applicant argues on page 9 that a vacuum applied through the male former and skirt is not disclosed in MORIN however, MORIN discloses that the vacuum is produced in the male mold (col. 6, lines 54-55) and although it is considered the peripheral area, it is still considered a vacuum through the mold.